

Aligning ATRE Regions with the Workforce Training Needs of Rapidly Changing Automotive and Diesel Transportation and Renewable Energy Sectors

Prepared for

Peter Davis, Statewide Director AT&RE Sector

Ву

Gus Koehler, Ph.D.

Time Structures, Inc.

(2014)









PREFACE

Economic and Workforce Development (EWD) is an integral part of the California Community Colleges and its Doing What Matters for Jobs and the Economy framework, investing funding and resources in industry sectors that are key to California's economic growth. EWD's industry-specific workforce services, such as ATRE (Advanced Transportation and Renewable Energy) are coordinated through a system of sector specialists that align community college and other workforce development resources with the needs of industry sectors and occupational clusters through a regional focus. The goal is to invest in the skills of California's workforce – now and in the future – through partnerships with business and industry that result in highly specialized industry training, technical consulting and business development. The end result is to meet industry's need for skilled workers.

The views and opinions expressed by the authors are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the ATRE, California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, or any California Community College or other agency, individual, or company listed in this report.

For more information about ATRE contact Peter Davis, Statewide Director, at: 619-473-0090 or outrchpd@me.com or pdavis@cccewd.net

ATRE Website: www.atreeducation.org

4Energy Website: www.4NRG.org

Doing What Matters: http://doingwhatmatters.ccco.edu/

This report was prepared by Gus Koehler, Ph.D. with the research assistance of Jessica Wemett, with funding from a EWDP grant by the California Chancellors Office.

Time Structures: 916-564-8683, Gus@TimeStructures.com, and www.TimeStructures.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| l. | ATRE'S INDUSTRY BASED REGIONAL WORKFORCE TRAINING STRATEGY | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| II. | EME | RGING R | ENEWABLE ENERGY (CLEANTECH) AND INTERNET BASED | | | | | | | |
| | TECH | TECHNOLOGIES ARE REDEFINING ATRE | | | | | | | | |
| III. | INDU | INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENTS PROVIDE A CONTEXT FOR INTERPRETING | | | | | | | | |
| | ATRE | REGION | NAL DATA | 9 | | | | | | |
| II. | a. | San [| Diego/Imperial Region | | | | | | | |
| | | i. | Trucking | 10 | | | | | | |
| | | ii. | Automotive | 10 | | | | | | |
| | | | Comment | 10 | | | | | | |
| | | iii. | Renewable Energy (Clean Technology) | 11 | | | | | | |
| | | | Comment | 12 | | | | | | |
| | | iv. | Trucking and Diesel Related Sector Data | 12 | | | | | | |
| | | ٧. | Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data | 13 | | | | | | |
| | | vi. | Clean Technology Sectors Data | 14 | | | | | | |
| | b. | Inland Empire/Desert Region | | | | | | | | |
| | | i. | Trucking | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | ii. | Automotive | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | | Comment | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | iii. | Renewable Energy (Clean Technology) | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | | Comment | 17 | | | | | | |
| | | iv. | Trucking and Diesel Sector Related Data | 18 | | | | | | |
| | | ٧. | Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data | 19 | | | | | | |
| | | vi. | Clean Technology Sectors Data | 20 | | | | | | |
| | c. | Los A | Angeles/Orange Region | 22 | | | | | | |
| | | i. | Trucking | 22 | | | | | | |
| | | ii. | Automotive | 22 | | | | | | |
| | | | Comment | 22 | | | | | | |

| | | iii. | Renewable Energy (Clean Technology) | 22 |
|-------|---------|-----------|---|----|
| | | | Comment | 23 |
| | | iv. | Trucking and Diesel Sector Data | 24 |
| | | ٧. | Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data | 25 |
| | | vi. | Clean Technology Sectors Data | 26 |
| | d. | San Fra | ancisco Bay Region | 28 |
| | | i. | Trucking | 28 |
| | | ii. | Automotive | 28 |
| | | | Comment | 28 |
| | | iii. | Renewable Energy (Clean Technology) | 28 |
| | | | Comment | 29 |
| | | iv. | Trucking and Diesel Sector Data | 30 |
| | | ٧. | Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data | 31 |
| | | vi. | Clean Technology Sectors Data | 32 |
| IV. | CLEAN | TECH i3 | SUMMARY FOR CALIFORNIA | 34 |
| Attac | hment 1 | : Cleante | ech Sector Definitions | 35 |
| Attac | hment 2 | : Truck a | and Automotive NAICS Codes used in this Study | 38 |

ALIGNING ATRE REGIONS WITH THE WORKFORCE TRAINING NEEDS OF RAPIDLY CHANGING AUTOMOTIVE AND DIESEL TRANSPORTATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY SECTORS

ATRE'S INDUSTRY BASED REGIONAL WORKFORCE TRAINING STRATEGY

Industry wants labor and workers trained to the job requirements and openings of a particular industry sector in particular geographic areas. Because career technical education is expensive, ATRE seeks to meet this goal by selectively investing college resources. When resources are focused on actual needs, the pay-off is both business growth and good paying jobs, both of which benefit local economies.

Regional ATRE sector data presented here aligns with this strategy, offering high-fidelity information for planning and strategizing. A well ground regional workforce training strategy can be developed by supplementing this data with Employment Development Department regional labor market statistics informed by company advice, specialized company surveys, direct meetings with business owners and managers, and formation of sector advisory groups. This highly focused and accountable strategy enables a Regional Sector Navigator (DSN) to bring industry and faculty together around common data driven goals that shape curriculum and properly equip facilities. The result will be to award students with just-in-time training or certificates of achievement that are immediately relevant to technical jobs.

Because technology is a moving target, any strategy must be constantly freshened by comparing current priorities with bench mark data developed at the start of the fiscal year that indicates how industry requirements are evolving. Strategies must be evaluated and adjusted based on company satisfaction with student preparation and resulting increases in productivity. And, of course, strategies must align with student job satisfaction. The Chancellor's Launch Board provides information on college training, number of certificates issued, and other key variables, by college and by region. By carefully aligning statistical and industry-based data with Launch Board data, and including measures of business and student satisfaction, any ambiguity regarding the match between certification and employer needs is reduced and kept coordinated across time.

Time Structures, Inc. (TSI) has produced a number of tools and guidance documents at the request of Peter Davis, ATRE Sector Navigator. These materials are designed to help DSNs evaluate labor market and industry information and develop an overview of how business and workforce industry needs are changing at the community level in each region. These works highlight new technologies and applications and show significant industry and sector changes relevant to a forward looking approach to curriculum and faculty development and training. These analyses can alert DSN's to inquire about industry trends such as, for example, dropping wages when payrolls are up, or causes behind the slowing of biofuels development in regions where it should be growing.

Aligning ATRE Regions with the Workforce Training Needs of Rapidly Changing Automotive and Diesel Transportation and Renewable Energy Sectors is the eighth and final guidance document prepared by TSI to assist DSN's with regional strategy development as they steer the development of services in their

Initiatives. The other seven guidance documents in the series are:

- Advanced Transportation & Renewable Energy Steps Forward! (2013): This document is oriented to the newly appointed DSNs. It points out key regional and college trends and likely growth drivers in the ATRE arena; it provides methods for helping local colleges identify district businesses by sector size, employment and location; it projects ATRE sector growth by region; and it provides a guided tour of the LMID web site, Cleantech i3 data, and other industry maps and web based resources relevant to the ATRE sphere of influence. (This data refines and updates Centers for Excellence ATRE regional studies.)¹
- Regional and County Statistic Baseline Data on Automotive, Diesel Related Transport, and Clean Energy Employer Sectors (see Attachment 1 for a list and definitions): This documents provides the most recent statistical picture of ATRE businesses and employment at the county level.
- Using ZIP Code GIS to Conduct a Cleantech Sector Clusters Analysis to Identify Training Needs (2014): The Cleantech i3 data base (hereafter referred to as i3) provides detailed corporate information including their ZIP codes. This tools shows how to use this information to identify an industry cluster around a particular sector that is closer to or in a college's district.
- How To Use TSI's Regional Analysis Of Automotive Repair Techs And Mechanics, And Number Of Businesses To Find Individual Businesses (2014): this practical documents uses computer screen shots to guide DSN's through the LMID web site to find ATRE businesses, phone numbers, management names, location maps, and other key outreach information.
- Using Cleantech Data to Develop an ATRE Regional Renewable Energy Strategy (2013):
 Develops clean technology data analysis and strategy skills for DSN's so proprietary corporate data can be used to immediately understand new sector developments and find out how to identify and contact corporate executives to develop an appropriate workforce training curriculum.
- ATRE'S Future: Velocity, Velocity, Velocity (2014): This document provides a forward-looking five to ten year vision of developing technologies such as the industrial internet, attendant skill requirements, and new training modalities that fit well with adaptive training strategies, both regional and statewide.
- Clean Technology Sector Company Date for Ten California Community College DWM Regions (2014): Here we provide summaries of the Cleantech i3. Developed by TSI and presented in quickly understandable formats, this information educates the reader on rapidly growing clean technology companies relevant to the ten California Community Colleges Doing What Matters Regions.

EMERGING RENEWABLE ENERGY (CLEAN TECHNOLOGY) AND INTERNET BASED TECHNOLOGIES ARE REDEFINING ATRE

What is being called the "industrial internet" is changing the energy efficiency of homes, vehicles, and logistic systems even as new fuels, engines, and methods for generating and distributing power are becoming ubiquitous (this section is drawn from ATRE'S Future: Velocity, Velocity, Velocity, Koehler, 2014). Regional 2012-2013 data on trucking, automotive, and renewable energy (clean technologies) must be interpreted within this realistic context. Of particular importance is the shortening product design cycle to about two to three years; and the quickening need for new assembly and maintenance

6

¹ COE has done a number of individual ATRE regional and specialized sector studies: 13 in 2009; 2 in 2010; and 1 in 2011 as shown on. http://www.coeccc.net/. The above studies provide additional ATRE related references and special studies of California industries.

skills when put in the context of the one to two years that it takes to train faculty, points to the difficulties involved in developing and teaching up-to-date curricula.

Transport and energy generating machines and renewable energy systems are being integrated into the broader regional context of smart city systems. A smart city depends on computerized information collection, analysis and coordination by a network of wireless sensors: to reduce energy consumption, to coordinate health and public safety services, to manage logistics, and to transport people. Information for coordinating and integrating smart cities is developed by: tracking vehicles, vehicle safety systems, vehicle engine performance, trailer tracking, individual container tracking, fleet management, rail management, satellite or cell tower tracking, intelligent cars, aerospace coordination, climate controls for buildings, emergency dispatch and telemedicine, and personal sensors. All of these man-machine-sensor-computing-internet relationships require workers who are trained to assemble, maintain, and service them by interpreting automatically generated data and properly coordinating and integrating components into elements of the broader systems of which they are a part.

For example, automated home energy systems already manage energy from diverse sources like solar panels, geothermal heating/cooling, electric auto charging, and wind. Energy systems feed into or draw from local microgrids and regional grids. California is a leader in developing these grids. On the horizon are plug-in home or municipal car chargers, home energy storage systems including car batteries, and whole buildings regulated by autonomous energy management technologies.

Renewable energy sources -- biofuels, solar, wind, biogas, hydro, and other generation facilities -- are located throughout ATRE Regions:

- 116 wind projects are located in 9 counties in the San Francisco Bay, Inland Empire, and San Diego/Imperial Regions.
- 93 large solar projects are located in 18 counties in the San Diego/Imperial, Inland Empire, and the San Francisco Bay Regions.
- 16 solar thermal projects are located in 3 counties, two of which are in the Inland Empire Region.
- 41 geothermal projects are located in 6 counties, two of which are located in the San Diego/Imperial Region.
- 54 biogas projects are located in 19 counties covered by the San Diego/Imperial, Los Angeles/Orange, San Francisco Bay, and Inland Empire/Desert Regions.
- 44 biomass projects are located in 24 counties cutting across the San Diego/Imperial; Los Angeles/Orange, San Francisco Bay, and Inland Empire/Desert Regions.

Auto and truck product cycles are shortening from 8 to 3 to 4 years. New car introductions are being made year around, no longer in a "new car season." Rather than updating a car model, a whole new design completely replaces it, even if the old model is selling well. For example, alternative fuel vehicles are introduced as new conventional car models come out. Running changes to interior technologies are made every year. Autonomous Vehicle Systems that replace the need for a human driver are being rapidly introduced. For example, vehicle control is gradually being extended from sole driver control to computer mediated control based on interactions with other cars and the roadway; and truck logistics will depend on similar computer mediated truck steering, autonomous reporting and coordination systems.

It is clear that ATRE training now needs to address this technological integration, requiring new tools, new multi-skilled working groups, and new diagnostic techniques, even as the changing mix of connections continues to unfold.

Trucks and trucking logistic systems are changing rapidly. Multiple improvements to truck engines include new fuels sources and telematics, which will reduce diesel and other fuel consumption. Telematics for mobile connectivity are and will continue to improve logistics and fleet management. Insurance telematics will monitor both vehicle and driver. Mandated engine efficiencies, improved auxiliary systems, and power trains will further reduce fuel costs. ATRE training is continuously shaped by all of these factors as they emerge piecemeal from the trucking industry.

The transportation sector is expected to account for the biggest reduction in California energy costs and emissions. Autos are the primary target, followed by trucks and other transportation sectors, and improvements in airplane emissions and efficiency are fourth. Again, ATRE services contribute directly to these reductions and must be up to date.

U.S. freight railroad demand will increase 88% by 2035 requiring smart rail networks. Rail emission reductions will have to match truck reductions to meet 2050 emission goals. Improved logistics using telematics will require installation of new information technology and sensor systems in cars and on roadbeds. Automated Equipment Identification, RFID tags, trackside sensors to detect "hot boxes," improper wheel impact detection, etc., will track the conditions of cars to improve logistics and safety. Predictive maintenance based on data analysis can reduce accidents and improve performance. Evans and Annunziata (2012) say that "Rail operation costs represent 75% of total train transport costs, or \$184 billion per year [and] 2.5% of rail operation costs are the result of system inefficiencies. This amounts to \$5.6 billion per year in potential savings" (Evans and Annunziata, (2012). Industrial Internet: Pushing the Boundaries of Minds and Machines. General Electric). ATRE will be in the forefront of this dramatic technological revolution as railroads retrain their workforce and bring new workers on board.

Intelligent aircraft systems act like virtual proactive maintenance teams, determining the status of the aircraft and its subsystems in real time. Actionable information predicts failures before they occur, provides immediate guidance for repairs, and an accurate "whole plan" view of aircraft health. These systems provide significant safety, economic, and environmental benefits. In the past, flight inefficiencies boosted costs by an average of 8-22% over an 8-year period in the US. Reduction of fuel costs can reach 5% simply from better flight planning and operational changes associated with the industrial internet, which could save the industry \$8.0 billion per year. Commercial jet engine maintenance costs can be reduced by \$250 million for every one percent improvement in engine maintenance efficiency due to the industrial internet. There is no question that ATRE-trained service technicians are key to seeing these changes gain traction.

ATRE, like business generally, will face a high degree of uncertainty in its efforts to match workforce training and new certificates of competency to the identified emerging industry developments. Unpredictable market adoptions to the industrial internet should drive the content of a responsive ATRE regional training program. Clearly, rapid innovation produces a large number of new products. The rate of regional growth depends on the market's ability to sift potential products and identify truly valuable ones, a process not in the control of ATRE. Uncertainty necessarily creates a challenge for ATRE workforce training because regional sector technologies are developing and coming to market at different rates in different transportation and clean energy sectors, creating complex mixes of old and

new transportation, clean technology, building and other products. Personal relationships with successful business owners are the only way to track changes and respond in time. In the past ATRE has responded to new markets when they are big enough to sustain job placement and/or a significant number of incumbent upgrades. But now anticipation of such developments -- and a timely response -- will be key to sustaining an effective ATRE program.

The industrial internet also brings special challenges related to the changing boundary between human competency and machine capabilities for manufacturing and services jobs. ATRE training needs to:

- Combine STEM and on the job specialized machine-computer operator training by knowledgeable trainers;
- Teach mastery of creative thinking skills, large-frame pattern recognition, and complex communications to diagnose problems at any point in the computer/machine/operator-supply chain-wholesaler-customer network;
- Teach the skills to evaluate and repair sensors and data processors as well as various kinds of energy generators, alternatively fueled engines, and home and industry renewable energy and/or conservation systems;
- Teach the ability to work in a group with diverse technical skills, using social technology to communicate with owners, suppliers, and users that takes advantage of self-organizing work group problem solving and distributed learning environments;
- Teach the ability to apply problem solutions delivered via the internet to related but different immediate problems;
- Introduce tolerance for continuous monitoring of personal performance by the employer, insurance companies, and selected regulators; and
- Encourage taking advantage of ATRE workforce training to retool and move from job to job to meet new product and service just-in-time requirements.

INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENTS PROVIDE A CONTEXT FOR INTERPRETING ATRE REGIONAL DATA

The following tables report 2012-2013 or 2014 business and workforce changes in ATRE Region 1: San Diego/Imperial; Region 2: Inland Empire/Desert; Region 3: Los Angeles/Orange; and Region 4: San Francisco Bay Area. The automotive and transportation related data is drawn from 2012-13 LMID ES 202 data and US Census County Business Patterns data for 2011. 2014 data on clean technology firms is taken from Cleantech *i3*, a proprietary data base reporting on multiple clean energy sectors.

These data are drawn from three sources: The 2012-13 LMID ES 202 report, the US Census County Business Pattern report for 2011, and data on 2014 from Cleantech *i3*. The Cleantech *i3* material comes from a proprietary data base reporting on multiple clean energy sectors. Unlike LMID or COE data, which attempts to count all automotive, trucking or green technology companies in California, Cleantech *i3* data is generated from a purposive sample of clean technology start-ups, small, medium and large companies who are currently innovating new clean technologies and who are seeking, are or have received, new funding to perfect their ideas and grow. The Cleantech *i3* database is constantly being updated which enhances its validity and relevance to the development of college training. Moreover,

this database is used by investors and companies seeking prominence in clean technology industries. Since these are the companies that are most likely to drive the clean technology sector in each ATRE region, their development and job creation is of special interest to ATRE. In short, knowledge of this data provides high-pay-off for regions interested in a forward looking job training strategy.

Nevertheless, caution should be used when interpreting the numbers. First, these data have been generated to track selected, rapidly growing companies -- not all companies, employment, or investments. Discrimination was used in identifying companies of interest. Second, self-reporting means not all companies reported data in all categories, a problem that leads to differing table totals, such as the number of employees or total investments. These differences can be significant, suggesting that the information may be most useful for discerning broad patterns and changes applicable to fast growing companies, even though specific companies are clearly significant as indicators of the overall economic health of the sector. Also, please note that the dates reported refer to when the data was downloaded by Time Structures, Inc. (See Attachment 1 for Sector Definitions.)

Originally, TSI industry studies were to meant to compare ATRE related automotive and transport sectors in 2012 with 2013. Cleantech sectors were to be compared at the beginning of the 2013-14 fiscal year with the end of the year. Both studies were to identify key business and employment developments. Unavoidable delays meant that only a few months of renewable energy Cleantech *i3* data for early 2014 were available to analyze when this study was prepared. Even so, interesting and relevant changes were identified. Trucking (general freight, bus, school, transit using diesel for the most part) and automotive repair and maintenance business NAICS codes used for this study are listed in Attachment 2.

San Diego/Imperial Region

<u>Trucking:</u> Activity in this sector appears to have dipped from 2012 to 2013 because 2nd quarter 2013 data shows 785 trucking and related establishments, 28 less than in the 4th quarter of 2012. Furthermore, average monthly employment was 9,669 (312 fewer than 2012) with a total quarterly payroll of \$87.6 million, \$9.7 million less than 2012.

<u>Automotive</u>: However, in 2011 the region had 5,536 non-employer (defined as sole proprietorship) trucking businesses. Adding employer and non-employer totals together, TSI estimates there were at least 6,321 trucking and related establishments in the second quarter of 2013.

Comment: The decrease in average weekly pay and in yearly payroll is a serious development for the region. California's slow economic recovery in hiring and pay, particularly for low and middle-income workers (California Budget Project, 2014) is the environment in which new transportation technologies are taking hold. The rapid market adoption of new automotive, truck, and logistic technologies and fuels may already be affecting business growth, employee hiring and wages and will continue to do so much more drastically in the future. The drop in automotive repair and trucking wages that was caused by wage stagnation can only be made worse with technician training that is out-of-step with the adoption of new, market driven, technologies. To help mitigate these developments, ATRE should closely track the introduction of new automotive and trucking developments so that workforce training can match needs. It may also be possible to identify non-employer businesses who can assist with adopting new technology.

Renewable Energy (Clean Technology): Comparing two snapshots of Cleantech *i3* data, one on 1-14-14 and one on 4-29-14, for the San Diego/Imperial Region for all 18 cleantech sectors we see that:

- The number of companies grew by 32 from 104 to 136;
- Employment grew by 1,387 from 10,636 to 12,023 in 2013; and
- Total firm investment since a reporting firm's founding increased by \$166.6 million to \$1.946 billion in 2013.

(Remember these companies were hand-picked by Cleantech for analysis.) TSI has analyzed Cleantech *i3* data for companies most likely to drive the sector by dividing them into two groups: companies in the first group are defined by stage of development; companies in the second are defined by which of the 18 cleantech sectors they belong to. The status of each group in 1-14-14 was compared with itself in 4-29-14, showing variations in stage of development or distribution of various factors such as the number of companies, employment or investment by cleantech sector.

The first category of firms was formed by stage of company development defined as: 1. products are widely available to the community; 2. scaling up or piloting the manufacturing process or services; 3. have a prototype and are in the product development stage; and 4. concept development and proto development of product or service. Each of these stages has different job and workforce training requirements. As funding increases the company passes from stage 4 to stage 1 as it gears up to disrupt a market with new products or services, leading again to increased hiring and a need for a different mix of skills including those necessary for product maintenance and services.

Here's what the numbers look like for each stage of development in the San Diego/Imperial Region:

- The number of companies in the wide commercial availability stage increased by 11 to 64 employing 10,630 (up 1,333);
- Those piloting the manufacturing process or developing services increased by 6 to a total of 32 companies, employing 383 (up 25).
- Those in the product development stage increased by 9 to 32 companies employing 975 (up 24);
- Those in the concept development stage increased by 6 to 10 companies, employing 35 (up 5).

Total investment is defined as all of the funding that a company has received since its founding (and again remember that this is self-reported data). Funding by stage of development in the study's reporting period shows that:

- Firms in the shipping product/pilot project stage saw the greatest increase, \$76.5 million (31.1%);
- Followed by firms in the wide commercial availability stage, with additional investments of \$72.8 million (9.5%).

The second category of companies is by cleantech sector. In 2008, a UC Berkeley study reported that San Diego was a cleantech innovation leader and that its green transportation and recycling/remediation sectors were growing particularly fast (Center for Community Innovation, 2008). The Cleantech *i3* summary of regional data by 18 sectors shows that:

- San Diego biofuels, transportation, and solar are the top investment areas in that order.
- Employment is reported as being highest in solar, followed by agriculture and forestry, and then transportation with transportation and "other cleantech" showing the largest absolute number of new employees.
- The highest total company investments were in biofuels and biochemicals, followed by transportation, and solar.
- Sectors receiving the highest reported increase in investments during the study period were transportation, biofuels and biochemical, and "other cleantech."

Comment: The number of mature companies with widely available products, and the level of funding they are receiving suggests that they will continue to add employees beyond the 10,630 currently employed. The rapid growth in funding for companies with products in the market or entering the market supports this view. The velocity of these developments suggest that the DSN should consider using the Cleantech *i3* data regularly to identify and reach out to companies in these two segments to negotiate the rapid development of training curriculum and instructor contracts.

ATRE should continue to closely follow the transportation, solar, and biofuels and biochemical segments which seem most likely to continue increasing employment. Our industry analysis shows that the San Diego/Imperial Region will continue to be an important center of innovation, manufacturing and services, driving the development and adoption of these new technologies.

Trucking and Diesel Related Sector Data

Q 2013

Region 1, San Diego/Imperial: Change in the Number of Trucking, Public Transportation, and Related Establishments Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q

| | Change in # | Change in Monthly | Change in Reported Quarterly Payroll |
|---|----------------|-------------------|---|
| Sector | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) |
| Truck Transportation (484) | 24 | -18 | (\$532) |
| Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation (485) | 5 | -275 | (\$8,869) |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation (487) | -1 | -19 | (\$276) |
| Total | 28 | -312 | (\$9,677) |

Sources: Time Structures, Inc., calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 13, and US Census County Business Patterns for 2011.

Region 1, San Diego/Imperial: Estimated Number of Trucking and Related Establishments, Nonemployers, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013) Number of Average Average **Establishments** Monthly **Total Quarterly** Weekly Major Industry Title (NAICS) (ES202) **Employment** Payroll (\$1,000) Pay Truck transportation (484) 500 4,996 51,165 \$788 San Diego Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) 151 3,234 25,007 \$595 Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) 48 646 4,282 \$510 77 Truck transportation (484) 695 6,291 Imperial \$696 Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) 9 98 856 \$667 Total 2013 (ES202) 785 9,669 87,601 Nonemployers 2011 (US Census County Bus. Pat.) For the same NAICS Classifications Above 5,536 **Estimated Total Firms** and Nonemployers 2nd

6,321

Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data

Region 1, San Diego/Imperial: Estimated Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013)

| | | | Total | | |
|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|------------|--|
| | | Average | Annual | | |
| | Number of | Monthly | Payroll | Average | |
| County | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) | Weekly Pay | |
| San Diego | 1,453 | 9,546 | \$82,515 | \$665 | |
| Imperial | 48 | 209 | \$1,458 | \$537 | |
| Totals | 1,501 | 9,755 | \$83,973 | | |

Region 1, San Diego/Imperial: Change in the Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q

| County | Change in Establishments | Change in Monthly Employment | Percent Change in Montly Employment | Change in Reported Quarterly Payroll (x1,000) | Average Weekly Pay | % Change in Average Weekly Pay |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| San Diego | 23 | 151 | 2% | (\$1,037) | -19 | 0 |
| Imperial | 0 | 6 | 3% | \$10 | -11 | 0 |
| Region Total | 23 | 157 | | (\$1,027) | | |

Source: Time Structures, Inc. calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 2013.

Clean Technology Sectors Data

Again, Cleantech *i3* data is a purposive sample of rapidly growing companies and is not a count of all companies. Companies may not report data in certain categories leading to differing table totals. Dates refer to when the data was downloaded by Time Structures, Inc. See Attachment 1 for Sector Definitions.

| Region 1, San D | Diego/Imper | ial Counties: N | | mpanies, Total (L-14-14 to 4-29- | | • | • | loyees Compa | red by Stag | ge of Develo | opment |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cleantech Companies, Company Investment and Total Employees 1-14-2014 | | | | Cleantech Com | panies, Comp Employees | any Investment a 4-29-2014 | nd Total | Growth or Loss in Companies, Total Company Investment or Total Employees Between 1-14-2014 and 4-29-14 | | | |
| Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees |
| Wide Commercial Availability | 53 | \$762,332,052 | 9,297 | Wide Commercial Availability | 64 | \$835,060,867 | 10,630 | Wide Commercial Availability | 11 | \$72,728,815 | 1,333 |
| Shipping Product/Pilot | 24 | \$245,798,220 | 358 | Shipping Product/Pilot | 30 | \$322,285,922 | 383 | Shipping Product/Pilot | 6 | \$76,487,702 | 25 |
| Product Development | 23 | \$771,218,260 | 951 | Product Development | 32 | \$779,581,118 | 975 | Product Development | 9 | \$8,362,858 | 24 |
| Concept Total | 4 104 | \$25,000 \$1,779,373,532 | 30 10,636 | Concept Total | 10 136 | \$9,025,000 \$1,945,952,907 | 35 12,023 | Concept Total | 6 32 | \$9,000,000 \$166,579,375 | 5 1,387 |

| Number of (| Region 1: San Diego & Imperial (1-14-14), Number of Cleantech Companies, Employees & Investments by Sector | | | Region 1: San Diego & Imperial (5-6-14), Number of Cleantech Companies, Employees & Investments by Sector | | | | Region 1: San Diego & Imperial (1-14-14 to 5-6-14), Changes in the Number of Cleantech Companies, Employees & Investments by Sector | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) |
| Advanced Materials | 11 | 180 | \$66.7 | | Advanced Materials | 10 | 180 | \$66.7 | Advanced Materials | -1 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Agriculture and Forestry | 8 | 1,073 | \$62.2 | | Agriculture and Forestry | 9 | 1,073 | \$62.2 | Agriculture and Forestry | 1 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Air | 4 | 65 | \$58.0 | | Air | 5 | 65 | \$58.0 | Air | 1 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Biofuels & Biochemical | 22 | 618 | \$576.6 | | Biofuels & Biochemical | 19 | 588 | \$622.9 | Biofuels & Biochemical | -3 | -30 | \$46.3 |
| Biomass | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | | Biomass | 1 | 30 | \$1.6 | Biomass | 1 | 30 | \$1.6 |
| Conventional Fuels | 1 | | \$4.9 | | Conventional Fuels | 1 | 0 | \$7.6 | Conventional Fuels | 0 | 0 | \$2.7 |
| Energy Efficiency | 22 | 87 | \$41.8 | | Energy Efficiency | 24 | 97 | \$52.6 | Energy Efficiency | 2 | 10 | \$10.8 |
| Energy Storage | 8 | 440 | \$80.5 | | Energy Storage | 8 | 440 | \$80.5 | Energy Storage | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | | Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Geothermal | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | | Geothermal | 1 | 25 | \$0.0 | Geothermal | 1 | 25 | \$0.0 |
| Hydro & Marine | 3 | 0 | \$0.0 | | Hydro & Marine | 3 | 0 | \$0.0 | Hydro & Marine | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Other Cleantech | 13 | 14 | \$0.6 | | Other Cleantech | 18 | 198 | \$36.2 | Other Cleantech | 5 | 184 | \$35.6 |
| Recycling & Waste | 9 | 64 | \$91.3 | | Recycling & Waste | 9 | 64 | \$91.3 | Recycling & Waste | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Smart Grid | 5 | 168 | \$65.7 | | Smart Grid | 5 | 168 | \$73.4 | Smart Grid | 0 | 0 | \$7.7 |
| Solar | 22 | 7,933 | \$232.3 | | Solar | 22 | 7,933 | \$263.8 | Solar | 0 | 0 | \$31.5 |
| Transportation | 17 | 743 | \$491.9 | | Transportation | 18 | 1,031 | \$596.0 | Transportation | 1 | 288 | \$104.1 |
| Water & Wastewater | 12 | 50 | \$52.6 | | Water & Wastewater | 16 | 50 | \$52.6 | Water & Wastewater | 4 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Wind | 8 | 6 | \$12.5 | | Wind | 10 | 6 | \$12.5 | Wind | 2 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Total | 165 | 11,441 | \$1,837.5 | | Total | 179 | 11,948 | \$2,077.9 | Total | 14 | 507 | \$240.4 |

Inland Empire/Desert Region

<u>Trucking</u>: Activity in this sector appears to have increased from 2012 to 2013 because 2nd quarter 2013 data shows 1,259 trucking and related establishments, 51 more than in the 4th quarter of 2012. Furthermore, average monthly employment was 26,464 (312 fewer than 2012) with a total quarterly payroll of \$87.6 million, \$9.7 million less than 2012. In 2011 the region had 13,499 nonemployer businesses (sole proprietorships) for an estimated total of at least 14,758 trucking and related establishments in 2013.

<u>Automotive</u>: The number of automotive repair and maintenance establishments increased by 54 to 1,703 in 2013, employing 11,034, up 612 over 2012. The 2013 quarterly payroll increased by \$3.1 million dollars, with average weekly pay dropping by about \$11 to \$14.

Comment: The automotive repair and trucking sectors businesses are growing in the Inland Empire/Desert region. The slow economic recovery in pay, particularly for lower and middle income workers, may be one of the reasons that pay dropped. It might also be due to the number of new hires, which would force down the average. The rapid market adoption of new automotive, truck, and logistic technologies and fuels may already be affecting business growth, employee hiring and wages, and will continue to do so much more drastically in the future. The drop in automotive repair and trucking wages that was caused by wage stagnation can only be made worse with technician training that is out-of-step with the adoption of new, market driven, technologies. To help mitigate these developments, ATRE should closely track the introduction of new automotive and trucking developments so that workforce training can match needs.

Renewable Energy (Clean Technology): Comparing self-reported Cleantech *i3* data at two times separated by four months (at 1-14-2014 and again at 4-29-14) for the Inland Empire/Desert Region for all 18 sectors we see that:

- The number of companies grew by 3 from 34 to 37;
- Employment grew by 2,900 from 292 to 3,192 (employment data by stage of development--defined below—differs showing that regional employment grew by 100 to a total of 12,292 in 2013); and
- Total firm investment since a firm's founding did not increase.

(Remember these companies were hand-picked by Cleantech for analysis.) TSI has analyzed Cleantech *i3* data for companies most likely to drive the sector by dividing them into two groups: companies in the first group are defined by stage of development; companies in the second are defined by which of the 18 cleantech sectors they belong to. The status of each group in 1-14-14 was compared with itself in 4-29-14, showing variations in stage of development or distribution of various factors such as the number of companies, employment or investment by cleantech sector. (See attachment 1 for a list of sectors.)

The first category of firms was formed by stage of company development defined as: 1. products are widely available to the community; 2. scaling up or piloting the manufacturing process or services; 3. have a prototype and are in the product development stage; and 4., concept development and proto development of product or service. Each of these stages has different job and workforce training requirements. As funding increases, the company passes from stage 4 to stage 1 as it gears up to disrupt a market with new products or services, leading again to increased hiring and the need for a different mix of skills, including those necessary for product maintenance and services.

Here's what the numbers look like for each stage of development in the Inland Empire/Desert Region:

-

² California Budget Project, 2014.

- The number of companies in the wide commercial availability stage increased by 4 to 16 employing 12,255 (up 100);
- Those piloting the manufacturing process or developing services stage increased by 2 to a total of 7 employing 32 (no increase employment over the earlier period);
- The Cleantech 13 data identified no companies in the product development stage; and
- Those in the concept development stage increased by 1 to 2 companies employing 5 (no change).

Total investment is defined as all of the funding that a reporting company has received since its founding. Funding did not change for any stage of development in the reporting period.

The second category of companies is by cleantech sector. In 2008, a UC Berkeley study reported that the Inland Empire/Desert region specializes in manufacturing and recycling, and is one of the state's fastest growing regions in all sectors except energy. The Cleantech *i3* summary of regional data by 18 sectors does not report energy related manufacturing as a separate category. It does show that:

- Recycle and waste, followed by "other cleantech" are top investment areas in that order. Only two
 other sectors—air and solar—show any investment activity.
- Employment is reported as being highest in water and wastewater treatment with one company accounting for 3,100 jobs of the total of 3,192 in the region.
- None of the Cleantech i3 sectors showed any increase in investment during the reporting period.

Comment: The number of companies identified in the Cleantech i3 data as selling products or getting ready to are small. Despite the small growth in employment, the lack of investment compared to the other three ATRE regions may suggest relative stagnation in growth at this time. This situation is compounded by lack of companies reported by Cleantech i3 to be developing products for market or even companies at the concept stage.

It should be firmly kept in mind that other data-bases, such as the COE and LMID Green Economy efforts, report many more companies in the clean energy sector. As noted, differences in the number of employees reported by companies in two different categories is significant. Even so, the 2008 UC Berkeley study ranked this region 8th in innovation at that time. They reported 704 green start-ups and 266 green gazelles. The Cleantech *i3* data which focuses on this segment seems to indicate that despite this promising start, there is a slow rate of development.

The DSN should consider requesting COE to conduct an independent NAICS based study to identify additional renewable energy firms, including their geographic location in the region, and how they are networked with other companies in California. Inland Empire/Desert green business networks identified in the UC Berkeley study could also be used to seek out and connect with firms that are not identified by the Cleantech *i3* data. Finally, ATRE could partner with the DWM Small Business initiative to catalyze start-up and small business growth.

Trucking and Diesel Sector Related Data

| Region 2, Inland Empire/Desert: Estimated Number of Trucking and Related Establishments, Nonemployers, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Major Industry Title (NAICS) | Number of Establishments (ES202) | Average Monthly Employment | Total Quarterly Payroll (\$1,000) | Average Weekly Pay | | | | | |
| Riverside | Truck transportation (484) Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 487 78 6 | 4,691 1,409 32 | \$50,246 \$8,830 \$169 | \$824 \$482 \$403 | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | Truck transportation (484) Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 618 67 3 | 18,431 1,863 38 | \$208,504 \$11,902 \$284 | \$870 \$491 \$570 | | | | | |
| Total 2013 (ES202) | | 1,259 | 26,464 | \$279,935 | , - | | | | | |
| Nonemployers 2011 (US Census County Bus. Pat.) | | 13,499 | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Total Firms and Nonemployers 2013 | | 14.758 | | | | | | | | |

| Region 2, Inland Empire/Desert: Change in the Number of Trucking, Public Transportation, and Related Establishments Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Change in # | Change in Monthly | Change in Reported Quarterly Payroll | | | | | | |
| Sector (NAICS) | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) | | | | | | |
| Truck Transportation (484) | 51 | 1,234 | \$8,839 | | | | | | |
| Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation (485) | 6 | (163) | (\$1,076) | | | | | | |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation (487) | (1) | (3) | (\$1) | | | | | | |
| Total | 57 | 1,071 | \$7,763 | | | | | | |

Sources: Time Structures, Inc., calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 13, and US Census County Business Patterns for 2011.

Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data

| Region 2, Inland Empire/Desert: Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments, Employment and Wages 2013 2nd Q | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Average | Total Annual | | | | | | |
| | Number of | Monthly | Payroll | Average | | | | | |
| County | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) | Weekly Pay | | | | | |
| Riverside | 839 | 5,498 | \$42,192 | \$590 | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | 864 | 5,536 | \$43,431 | \$603 | | | | | |
| Totals | 1,703 | 11,034 | \$85,623 | | | | | | |

Region 2, Inland Empire/Desert: Change in the Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments
Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q

| County | Change in Establishments | Change in Monthly Employment | Percent Change in Monthly Employment | Change in Reported Quarterly Payroll (x1000) | Change in Average Weekly Pay | % Change in Average Weekly Pay |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Riverside | 37 | 304 | 6% | \$1,422 | -14 | -2% |
| San Bernardino | 17 | 308 | 6% | \$1,696 | -11 | -2% |
| Region Total | 54 | 612 | | \$3,118 | | |

Source: Time Structures, Inc. calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 2013.

Clean Technology Sector Data

Cleantech *i3* data is a purposive sample of rapidly growing companies and is not a count of all companies. Companies may not report data in certain categories leading to differing table totals. Dates refer to when the data was downloaded by Time Structures, Inc. See Attachment 1 for Sector Definitions.

| Region 2, Inla | nd Empire / | Desert: A Co | • | | | • | • | mpany Inves | stment, and | Total Emp | loyees by |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Cleantech Companies, Company Investment and Total Employees 1-14-2014 | | | | ge of Develop Cleantech Con | ment on 1-2 mpanies, Comp Employees | oany Investme | | Growth or Loss in Companies, Total Compai Investment or Total Employees Between 1-1 2014 and 4-29-14 | | | |
| Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees |
| Wide Commercial Availability | 12 | \$72,821,794 | 12,155 | Wide Commercial Availability | 16 | \$72,821,794 | 12,255 | Wide Commercial Availability | 4 | 0 | 100 |
| Shipping Product/Pilot | 5 | \$2,000,000 | 32 | Shipping Product/Pilot | 7 | \$2,000,000 | 32 | Shipping Product/Pilot | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Product Development | 0 | \$0 | 0 | Product Development | 0 | \$0 | 0 | Product Development | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Concept Total | 1 18 | \$0 \$74,821,794 | 5 12,192 | Concept Total | 2 25 | \$0 \$74,821,794 | 5 12,292 | Concept Total | 7 | 0 | 0 100 |

| Number of | nland Empi f Clean Tech es and Inve | nology Co | mpanies, | _ | echnology C | • | 29-14), Number of Employees and ctor | Change | s in the Num | ber of Clea | 23-14 to 4-29-14), n Technology ments by Sector |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|
| Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) |
| Advanced | | _ | 40.0 | Advanced | _ | _ | | Advanced | | | |
| Materials | 1 | 0 | \$0.0 | Materials | 1 | 0 | - | Materials | 0 | 0 | - |
| Agriculture and Forestry | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | Agriculture and Forestry | 0 | 0 | - | Agriculture and Forestry | 0 | 0 | - |
| Air | 2 | 30 | \$6.3 | Air | 2 | 30 | 6 | Air | 0 | 0 | - |
| Biofuels & | | | | Biofuels & | | | | Biofuels & | | | |
| Biochemicals | 2 | 0 | \$0.0 | Biochemicals | 2 | 0 | - | Biochemicals | 0 | 0 | - |
| Biomass | | | | Biomass | | 0 | | Biomass | 0 | 0 | - |
| Conventional | | _ | | Conventional | | _ | | Conventional | _ | _ | |
| Fuels | 1 | 0 | \$0.0 | Fuels | 1 | 0 | - | Fuels | 0 | 0 | - |
| Energy Efficiency | 5 | 25 | \$0.0 | Energy Efficiency | 5 | 25 | - | Energy Efficiency | 0 | 0 | - |
| Energy | _ | _ | 4 | Energy | _ | _ | | Energy | _ | | |
| Storage | 1 | 5 | \$0.0 | Storage | 1 | 5 | - | Storage | 0 | 0 | - |
| Fuel Cells & | 1 | 25 | \$0.0 | Fuel Cells & | 1 | 25 | _ | Fuel Cells & | 0 | 0 | _ |
| Hydrogen Geothermal | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | Hydrogen Geothermal | 0 | 0 | - | Hydrogen Geothermal | 0 | 0 | |
| Hydro & | U | U | ŞU.U | Hydro & | U | U | - | Hydro & | U | U | - |
| Marine | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | Marine | 0 | 0 | - | Marine | 0 | 0 | - |
| Other | | | | Other | | | | Other | | | |
| Cleantech | 2 | | \$36.4 | Cleantech | 3 | 0 | 36 | Cleantech | 1 | 0 | - |
| Recycling & | | 400 | dcc = | Recycling & | 2 | | c - | Recycling & | | (400) | |
| Waste | 1 | 100 | \$66.5 | Waste | 2 | 0 | 67 | Waste | 1 | (100) | - |
| Smart Grid | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 | Smart Grid | 0 | 0 | - | Smart Grid | 0 | 0 | - |
| Solar | 6 | 7 | \$2.0 | Solar | 6 | 7 | 2 | Solar | 0 | 0 | - |
| Transportation | 4 | 0 | \$0.0 | Transportation | 4 | 0 | - | Transportation | 0 | 0 | - |
| Water & Wastewater | 6 | 100 | \$0.0 | Water & Wastewater | 7 | 3,100 | - | Water & Wastewater | 1 | 3,000 | - |
| Wind | 2 | 0 | \$0.0 | Wind | 2 | 0 | _ | Wind | 0 | 0 | - |
| Total | 34 | 292 | \$111.2 | Total | 37 | 3,192 | 111 | Total | 3 | 2,900 | |
| iotai | 54 | 292 | \$111.7 | Total | 3/ | 3,192 | 111 | iotai | J 5 | 2,900 | |

Los Angeles/Orange Region

<u>Trucking</u>: In 2013 2nd quarter, the Los Angeles/Orange Region had 3,026 trucking and related establishments, an increase of 66 more firms than the 4th quarter of 2012. Average monthly employment was 47,173 (662 more than 2012). The total 2013 2nd quarter payroll of \$457.9 million, was \$17.4 million less than 2012. In 2011 the region had 35,115 nonemployer businesses for a TSI estimated total of at least 38,141 trucking and related establishments in 2013.

<u>Automotive</u>: The number of automotive repair and maintenance establishments increased by 91 to 5,910 in 2013, employing 38,259, up 1,274 over 2012. The 2013 quarterly payroll increased by a little over \$5.4 million to \$302.1 million, but average weekly pay dropped by about \$17.

Comment: The automotive repair and trucking sectors are growing in the Los Angeles/Orange Region. Hiring is picking up, too. California's slow economic recovery in hiring and pay, particularly for low and middle income workers is the environment in which new transportation technologies are taking hold.³ The rapid market adoption of new automotive, truck, and logistic technologies and fuels may already be affecting business growth, employee hiring and wages, and will continue to do so much more drastically in the future. The drop in automotive repair and trucking wages that was caused by wage stagnation can only be made worse with technician training that is out-of-step with the adoption of new, market driven, technologies. To help mitigate these developments, ATRE should closely track the introduction of new automotive and trucking developments so that workforce training can match needs. It may also be possible to identify non-employer businesses who can assist with adopting new technology.

<u>Renewable Energy (Clean Technology</u>): Comparing self-reported Cleantech *i3* data at two times over four months -- at 1-14-14 and again at 4-29-14 -- for the Los Angeles/Orange Region for all 18 sectors we see that:

- The number of companies grew by 7 from 349 to 356;
- Employment increased by 5,046 from 20,555 to 25,601 in 2013 (note that company reporting by sector shows a decrease); and
- Total firm investment since a firm's founding increased by 22.5 million from \$4.01 billion to \$4.04 billion.

(Remember these companies were hand-picked by Cleantech for analysis.) TSI has analyzed Cleantech *i3* data for companies most likely to drive the sector by dividing them into two groups: companies in the first group are defined by stage of development; companies in the second are defined by which of the 18 cleantech sectors they belong to. The status of each group in 1-14-14 was compared with itself in 4-29-14, showing variations in stage of development or distribution of various factors such as the number of companies, employment or investment by cleantech sector. (See Attachment 2 for a list of sectors.)

The first category of firms was formed by stage of company development defined as: 1. products are widely available to the community; 2. scaling up or piloting the manufacturing process or services; 3. have a prototype and are in the product development stage; and 4., concept development and proto development of product or service. Each of these stages has different job and workforce training requirements. As funding increases, the company passes from stage 4 to stage 1 as it gears up to disrupt a market with new products or services, leading again to increased hiring and a different mix of skills, including those necessary for product maintenance and services.

Comparing Los Angeles/Orange self-reporting data for the four month period shows that:

-

³ California Budget Project, 2014.

- The number of companies in the wide commercial availability stage increased by 27 to 160 employing 23,376 (up 5,471);
- Those piloting the manufacturing process or developing services stage increased by 10 to a total of 64 employing 1,793 (down by 518 employees);
- Those in the product development stage increased by 19 to 49 companies employing 421 (up 88);
 and
- Those in the concept development stage increased by 4 to 14 companies employing 11 (up 5).

Total investment is defined as all of the funding that a reporting company has received since its founding. Funding by stage of development in the reporting period shows that:

- Firms in the shipping product/pilot project stage saw the largest increase in funding, up \$122 million (5%).
- Followed by firms in the wide commercial availability stage seeing additional investments of \$72.8 million (9.5%).
- Firms at concept level of development saw a significant investment in 2013 of \$19.8 million.

In 2008, a UC Berkeley study reported that Los Angeles has almost as many jobs as Orange County, San Diego, and Riverside-San Bernardino combined. This remains true in 2013. Los Angeles has a diverse green economy, dominated by large firms in transportation and manufacturing, with rapid growth in energy research and services. The Cleantech *i3* summary of regional data by 18 sectors does not report energy related manufacturing as a separate category. It does show that:

- Multiple cleantech sectors have received a substantial amount of investment over the life of listed firms with transportation being first (\$1.6 billion), followed in order of level of investment by solar (\$773 million), energy storage (\$217 million), and biofuels and biochemicals (\$265 million).
- Employment is reported as being highest in transportation (4,853 but lost 633 employees during the study period), followed in order by advanced materials (3,938), recycling and waste (2,517), energy efficiency (91,636), and solar (1,251).
- None of the Cleantech *i3* sectors showed any increase in investment during the reporting period even though looking at the data differently, self-reported data by stage of company development, does show that significant investments did occur.

Comment: The number of mature companies with widely available products and the level of investment that they are receiving suggests that they will continue to add employees beyond the 10,630 currently employed in the Los Angeles/Orange Region by companies reporting. The rapid growth in funding for companies with products in the market or entering the market suggests that the sector may grow rapidly. The DSN should consider using the Cleantech *i3* data to identify and reach out to companies in these stages of development to negotiate training curriculum and trainer contracts. ATRE should continue to follow the transportation, solar, energy storage, and biofuels and biochemicals segments. Our industry analysis shows that the Los Angeles/Orange Region will continue to be an important center of innovation, manufacturing and services driving the development and adoption of these new technologies.

Trucking and Diesel Sector Data

Region 3, Los Angeles/Orange: Estimated Number of Trucking, Public Transportation, and Related Establishments, Nonemployers, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013) Total Number of **Average** Quarterly Average Establishments Monthly **Payroll** Weekly Major Industry Title (NAICS) (ES202) **Employment** (\$1,000) Pay Truck transportation (484) 1,945 26,328 \$286,662 838 **Los Angeles** 561 12,845 \$99,217 594 Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) \$4,300 508 Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) 66 651 Truck transportation (484) 812 325 4,048 \$42,711 594 Orange Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) 107 2,992 \$23,118 Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) 22 309 \$1,896 471 **Total 2013** (ES202) 3,026 \$ 457,904 47,173 Nonemployers 2011 (US **Census County** Bus. Pat.) 35,115 **Estimated Total** Firms and **Nonemployers** 2013 38,141

| Region 3, Los Angeles/Orange: Change in the Number of Trucking, Public Transportation, and Related Establishments Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Change in | | | | | | | | |
| | Reported | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Change in Quarterly | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Change in # Monthly Payroll | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) | | | | | | | | |
| Truck Transportation (484) | 50.00 | 174.00 | -20401.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation (485) | 14.00 | 546.00 | 3100.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation (487) | Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation (487) 2.00 -58.00 -109.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 66.00 | 662.00 | -17410.00 | | | | | | | | |

Sources: Time Structures, Inc., calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 13, and US Census County Business Patterns for 2011.

Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data

| Region 3, Los Angeles/Orange: Estimated Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Average Total Annual Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Number of | Monthly | Payroll | Weekly | | | | | | | | |
| County | Establishments | Employment | (x1,000) | Pay | | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles | 4,446 | 27,956 | \$214,200 | \$589 | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | Orange 1,464 10,303 \$87,947 \$657 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 5,910 | 38,259 | \$302,147 | | | | | | | | | |

Region 3: Los Angeles/Orange: Change in the Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishment's Employment, & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q

| County | Change in Establishments | Change in Monthly Employment | Percent Change in Monthly Employment | Change in Reported Quarterly Payroll (x\$1,000) | Change in Average Weekly Pay | % Change in Average Weekly Pay |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Los Angeles | 69 | 860 | 3% | \$2,645 | (\$12) | -2% |
| Orange | 22 | 414 | 4% | \$2,801 | (\$5) | -1% |
| Totals | 91 | 1,274 | | \$5,446 | (\$17) | |

Source: Time Structures, Inc. calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 2013.

Clean Technology Sectors Data

Cleantech *i3* data is a purposive sample of rapidly growing companies and is not a count of all companies. Companies may not report data in certain categories leading to differing table totals. Dates refer to when the data was downloaded by Time Structures, Inc. See Attachment 1 for Sector Definitions.

| Table 3: Ro | Table 3: Region 3, Los Angeles/Orange: Number of Companies, Total Company Investment, and Total Employees Compared by Stage of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|--|--|
| | | | | Develo | opment 1-1 | 14-2014 to 4-2 | 9-14 | | | | | | |
| | • | mpany Investmes 1-14-2014 | nent and | Cleantech Co | - | mpany Investme es 4-29-2014 | Growth or Loss in Companies, Total Company Investment or Total Employees Between 1-14-2014 and 4-29-14 | | | | | | |
| Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Number of Companies | Total Investment | Total Employees | Stage of Development | Total Employees | | | | |
| Wide | | | | Wide | | | | Wide | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | Commercial | | | | Commercial | | | | | |
| Availability | 133 | \$3,308,903,566 | 17,905 | Availability | 160 | \$ 3,318,003,566 | 23,376 | Availability | 27 | \$9,100,000 | 5,471 | | |
| Shipping | | | | Shipping | | | | Shipping | | | | | |
| Product/Pilot | 54 | \$2,113,793,255 | 2,311 | Product/Pilot | 64 | \$ 2,235,849,255 | 1,793 | Product/Pilot | 10 | \$122,056,000 | (518) | | |
| Product | | | | Product | | | | Product | | | | | |
| Development | 30 | \$ 195,761,692 | 333 | Development | 49 | \$ 209,386,692 | 421 | Development | 19 | \$13,625,000 | 88 | | |
| Concept | 10 | \$ - | 6 | Concept | 14 | \$ 19,775,000 | 11 | Concept | 4 | \$19,775,000 | 5 | | |
| Total | 227 | \$5,618,458,513 | 20,555 | Total | 287 | \$ 5,783,014,513 | 25,601 | Total | 60 | \$164,556,000 | 5,046 | | |

| Region 3: Los A | ngeles/Orange | (1-23-14), | Number of | Region 3: Los Ar | ngeles/Orang | e (4-29-14), | Number of Clean | | Region 3: Los Angeles/Orange (1-23-14 to 4-29- | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Clean Techn | ology Compani | ies, Employ | ees and | Technology Co | mpanies, Em | ployees and | Investments by | | Changes | in the Numb | er of Clea | n Technology | |
| | Investments by | / Sector | | | Sec | ctor | | | Companies | , Employees | and Invest | ments by Sector | |
| Sector | Companies | Renorted | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | | Total Investment (Mill) | Notes | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | |
| Advanced | | | | Advanced | | | | No Ceram | Advanced | | | | |
| Materials | 29 | 3,928 | \$30.1 | Materials | 30 | 3,938 | 50 | Tech | Materials | 1 | 10 | \$19.40 | |
| Agriculture and | 0 | 1 42 | ća a | Agriculture and | 0 | 10 | | no cyber | Agriculture and | 1 | (25) | /¢2.00\ | |
| Forestry | 8 | 43 | \$3.3 | Forestry | 9 | 18 | | rain 3m | Forestry | 1 | (25) | (\$2.90) | |
| Air | 5 | 165 | \$34.4 | Air | 5 | 165 | 34 | | Air | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Biofuels & Biochemicals | 17 | 583 | \$264.7 | Biofuels & Biochemicals | 17 | 590 | 265 | | Biofuels & Biochemicals | 0 | 7 | \$0.00 | |
| Biomass | 2 | 150 | γ204.7 | Biomass | 2 | 150 | - 203 | | Biomass | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Conventional | | 150 | | | | 150 | | | Conventional | - | | γ 0.00 | |
| Fuels | 4 | 356 | \$194.1 | Conventional Fuels | 4 | 356 | 194 | | Fuels | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Energy Efficiency | 65 | 1,629 | \$216.5 | Energy Efficiency | 65 | 1,635 | 217 | | Energy Efficiency | 0 | 6 | \$0.00 | |
| Energy Storage | 17 | 439 | \$382.8 | Energy Storage | 17 | 439 | 383 | | Energy Storage | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Fuel Cells & | _ | | 4 | Fuel Cells & | | | | | Fuel Cells & | _ | _ | 4 | |
| Hydrogen | 7 | 11 | \$80.1 | Hydrogen | 7 | 11 | 80 | | Hydrogen | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Geothermal | 1 | 35 | \$49.5 | Geothermal | 1 | 35 | 50 | | Geothermal | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Hydro & Marine | 2 | 4 | \$0.0 | Hydro & Marine | 2 | 4 | - | | Hydro & Marine | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Other Cleantech | 22 | 155 | \$74.9 | Other Cleantech | 23 | 155 | 75 | | Other Cleantech | 1 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Recycling & Waste | 20 | 2,507 | \$78.2 | Recycling & Waste | 21 | 2,517 | 78 | | Recycling & Waste | 1 | 10 | \$0.00 | |
| Smart Grid | 5 | 293 | \$22.0 | Smart Grid | 6 | 293 | 22 | | Smart Grid | 1 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Solar | 47 | 1,251 | \$769.8 | Solar | 47 | 1,251 | 773 | | Solar | 0 | 0 | \$2.70 | |
| Transportation | 47 | 5,486 | \$1,651.2 | Transportation | 48 | 4,853 | 1,651 | | Transportation | 1 | (633) | \$0.00 | |
| Water & | | | | Water & | | | | | Water & | | | | |
| Wastewater | 46 | 1,145 | \$166.9 | Wastewater | 47 | 1,170 | 170 | | Wastewater | 1 | 25 | \$3.30 | |
| Wind | 5 | 10 | \$0.0 | Wind | 5 | 10 | - | | Wind | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | |
| Total | 349 | 18,190 | \$4,018.4 | Total | 356 | 17,590 | 4,041 | | Total | 7 | (600) | \$22.50 | |

San Francisco Bay Region

<u>Trucking</u>: Activity in this sector appears to have improved from 2012 to 2013 because 2nd quarter 2013 data shows 1,790 trucking and related establishments, 88 more than in the 4th quarter of 2012. Average monthly employment was 9,669 (312 fewer than 2012). However, total quarterly payroll dropped in the 2nd quarter of 2013 being \$87.6 million, \$9.7 million less than 2012.

<u>Automotive</u>: The number of automotive repair and maintenance establishments also saw an improvement increasing by 94 to 3,830 in 2013. San Francisco Bay Region establishments employed 24,149 in 2013, up 1,014 over 2012. The 2013 quarterly payroll increased by a \$629 thousand to \$229.6 million. Six counties accounted for the increase; payroll dropped in 5 counties. Average weekly pay dropped by \$6 to \$157 in 10 counties.

Comment: The automotive repair and trucking sectors are growing in the San Francisco Bay Region. Hiring is picking up too. However, decrease in average weekly pay, despite the modest increase in yearly payroll, is a serious development for the region. The across the board decrease in average weekly pay is important, but the range of variation between counties in the amount of loss suggests that its causes may vary.

California's slow economic recovery in hiring and pay, particularly for low and middle-income workers (California Budget Project, 2014) is the environment in which new automotive and diesel technologies are taking hold. The rapid market adoption of new automotive, truck, and logistic technologies and fuels may already be affecting business growth, employee hiring and wages, and will continue to do so much more drastically in the future. The drop in automotive repair and trucking wages that was caused by wage stagnation can only be made worse with technician training that is out-of-step with the adoption of new, market driven, technologies. To help mitigate these developments, ATRE should closely track the introduction of new automotive and trucking developments so that workforce training can match needs. It may also be possible to identify non-employer businesses who can assist with adopting new technology.

Renewable Energy (Clean Technology): A comparison of Cleantech *i3* data on 1-14-2014 with that on 4-29-14 for the San Francisco Bay Region for all 18 sectors we see that:

- The number of companies grew by 69 from 820 to 889;
- Employment increased by 3,088 from 76,354 to 79,442 in 2013 (note that company reporting by sector shows significantly more employees, 447,433 in the 2nd Q of 2013, an increase of 32,301 with most of the total contributed by 7 very large companies); and
- Total firm investment since a firm's founding increased by \$1.88 billion from \$16.7 billion to \$18.6 billion.

(Remember these companies were hand-picked by Cleantech for analysis.) TSI has analyzed Cleantech *i3* data for companies most likely to drive the sector by dividing them into two groups: companies in the first group are defined by stage of development; companies in the second are defined by which of the 18 cleantech sectors they belong to. The status of each group in 1-14-14 was compared with itself in 4-29-14, showing variations in stage of development or distribution of various factors such as the number of companies, employment or investment by cleantech sector.

The first category of firms was formed by stage of company development defined as: 1. products are widely available to the community; 2. scaling up or piloting the manufacturing process or services; 3. have a prototype and are in the product development stage; and 4. concept development and proto development of product or service. Each of these stages has different job and workforce training requirements. As funding increases, the company passes from stage 4 to stage 1 as it gears up to

disrupt a market with new products or services, leading again to increased hiring and a different mix of skills, including those necessary for product maintenance and services.

Here's what the numbers look like for each stage of development in the San Francisco Bay Region:

- The number of companies in the wide commercial availability stage increased by 44 to 341 employing 438,558 (up 34,514);
- Those in the shipping their product/pilot stage increased by 42 to a total of 196 employing 6,791 (down by 2,364);
- Those in the product development stage increased by 27 to 142 companies employing 1,839 (up 131); and
- Those in the concept development stage increased by 34 to 63 companies employing 245 (up 20).

Total investment is defined as all of the funding that a reporting company has received since its founding. Funding by stage of development in the reporting period shows:

- Firms in the wide commercial availability stage reported \$11.94 billion in total investment for 4-29-2014 up \$1.35 billion (11.3%).
- Firms in the shipping product/pilot project stage reported \$4.47 billion in total investment up \$483 million (10.8%),
- Firms at concept level of development reported \$661 million in total investment up \$77million (11.2%).

The San Francisco Bay Area continues in its statewide leadership role as describe in 2008. A UC Berkeley study reported that Silicon Valley, due to its local expertise, financial capital, labor pool, and institutions, as well as excess capacity in manufacturing, is the green innovation leader in California. Silicon Valley's firms are the most highly networked, particularly with other firms, trade associations, and nonprofits. The East Bay is also a very significant employer.

The Cleantech i3 summary of regional data by 18 sectors shows that:

- Multiple cleantech sectors have received a substantial amount of investment with solar being first totaling \$6.6 billion, an increase of \$610 million during our study period, followed in order by energy efficiency at \$3.2 billion, an increase of \$190 million; biofuels and biochemical at \$1.7 billion, an increase of \$9.6 million; and transportation totaling \$1.6 billion, an increase of \$258 million. Over the time period of this study 13 of 18 i3 failed to show a significant increase in investment.
- Employment is reported as being highest in smart grid at 18,848, followed in order by solar at 17,374 increasing by 163; advanced materials at 9,754; and other clean technology at 9,347 an increase of 75.

Comment: The number of mature companies with widely available products and the level of investment that they are receiving suggests that they will continue to add employees. The total funding for companies with products in the market or entering the market, despite the recent decline, suggests that the companies at this stage may still grow rapidly. The robust investment in companies at the concept stage suggests that the pipeline to new products is open. The DSN should consider using the Cleantech i3 data to identify and reach out to companies in these stages of development and to multiple sectors (possible less so to wind, Hydro-water, biomass, geothermal and air) to negotiate training curriculum and faculty contracts. It may be prudent and worthwhile, given the size of the San Francisco Bay Region cleantech sector and its rate of the growth, that the Chancellor's office increase ATRE funding for this region to make certain that its workforce training needs are met in a timely way.

Trucking and Diesel Sector Data

Region 4, San Francisco Bay: Estimated Number of Truckingand Related Establishments, Nonemployers, Employees and Wages (2nd Q 2013)

| | | 1 | | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | Number of | Average | | |
| | Major Industry Title (NAICS) | Establishments | Monthly | Total Quarterly | Average |
| | Major Industry Title (NAICS) Truck transportation (484) | (ES202) 341 | Employment | \$64,362 | Weekly Pay \$885 |
| Alameda | Truck transportation (484) | | 5,595 | | \$641 |
| Alameda | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 60 | 1,916 | \$15,974 | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 9 | 87 | \$696 | \$610 |
| Contra Costa | Truck transportation (484) | 107 | 935 | \$10,992 | \$904 |
| | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 44 | 878 | \$6,887 | \$603 |
| Marin | Truck transportation (484) | 34 | 257 | \$2,277 | \$682 |
| | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 17 | 184 | \$1,579 | \$658 |
| Monterey | Truck transportation (484) | 127 | 1,285 | \$15,418 | \$923 |
| | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 14 | 267 | \$1,920 | \$553 |
| | Truck transportation (484) | 30 | 533 | \$6,287 | \$906 |
| Napa | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 14 | 326 | \$2,344 | \$553 |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 7 | 320 | \$2,380 | \$572 |
| | Truck transportation (484) | 32 | 327 | \$2,882 | \$677 |
| Santa Cruz | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 8 | 83 | \$504 | \$467 |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 6 | 43 | \$200 | \$358 |
| | Truck transportation (484) | 101 | 926 | \$10,041 | \$834 |
| San Francisco | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 81 | 1,631 | \$15,201 | \$717 |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 27 | 1,252 | \$32,062 | \$1,969 |
| | Truck transportation (484) | 118 | 1,112 | \$10,424 | \$721 |
| San Mateo | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 79 | 1,457 | \$12,819 | \$676 |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation (487) | 5 | 19 | \$125 | \$496 |
| Santa Clara | Truck transportation (484) | 197 | 4,414 | \$48,553 | \$846 |
| Santa Ciara | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 89 | 1,807 | \$14,297 | \$609 |
| Solano | Truck transportation (484) | 85 | 1,252 | \$12,899 | \$792 |
| Solano | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 25 | 509 | \$4,271 | \$645 |
| 6 | Truck transportation (484) | 111 | 1,163 | \$13,452 | \$890 |
| Sonoma | Transit and ground passenger transportation (485) | 22 | 872 | \$7,409 | \$654 |
| Total 2013 | | | | | |
| (ES202) | | 1,790 | 29,450 | \$316,255 | \$19,841 |
| Nonemployers | | | - | | |
| 2011 (US | | | | | |
| Census County | | | | | |
| Bus. Pat.) | | 15,265 | | | |
| Estimated Total | | | | | |
| Firms and | | | | | |
| Nonemployers | | | | | |
| 2013 | | 17,055 | | | |

| Region 4, San Francisco Bay: Change in the Num | ber of Trucking, | Public Transp | ortation, and | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Related Establishments Employment and V | Vages from 2012 | 2 4th Q to 2013 | 3 2nd Q | | | | | | | |
| | | | Change in | | | | | | | |
| | | Change in | Reported | | | | | | | |
| Change in # Monthly Quarterly Payr | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector (NAICS) | Establishments | employment | (x1,000) | | | | | | | |
| Truck Transportation (484) | 28 | -185 | (\$6,427) | | | | | | | |
| Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation (485) | 64 | 588 | \$1,962 | | | | | | | |
| Scenic and Sightseeing Transportation (487) | -4 | 74 | \$13,259 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 88 | 477 | \$8,794 | | | | | | | |

Sources: Time Structures, Inc., calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 13, and US Census County Business Patterns for 2011.

Automotive Repair and Maintenance Sector Data

| Region 4, San Frai | ncisco Bay: Estim | ated Number of | Automotive Rep | air and |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| Mainter | nance Firms, Emp | loyees and Wag | es 2013 2nd Q | |
| | | Average | | |
| | Number of | Monthly | Total Annual | Average |
| County | Establishments | Employment | Payroll (x1,000) | Weekly Pay |
| Alameda | 791 | 4,952 | \$45,941 | \$714 |
| Contra Costa | 397 | 2,804 | \$28,213 | \$774 |
| Marin | 166 | 1,191 | \$12,063 | \$779 |
| Monterey | 174 | 878 | \$7,189 | \$630 |
| Napa | 65 | 401 | \$3,684 | \$706 |
| San Francisco | 327 | 1,965 | \$19,642 | \$769 |
| San Mateo | 458 | 2,961 | \$27,635 | \$718 |
| Santa Clara | 856 | 5,301 | \$50,439 | \$732 |
| Santa Cruz | 135 | 806 | \$7,867 | \$751 |
| Solano | 193 | 1,310 | \$11,614 | \$682 |
| Sonoma | 268 | 1,580 | \$15,320 | \$746 |
| Region Totals | 3,830 | 24,149 | 229,607 | |

Source: Time Structures, Inc. calculations using LMID ES202 data for 2012 & 2013.

| Region 4, San Francisco Bay: Change in the Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Employment & Wages from 2012 4th Q to 2013 2nd Q | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Change in | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Percent | Reported | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Change in | Change in | Quarterly | | % Change in | | | | | | | |
| | Change in # | Monthly | Monthly | Payroll | Average | Average | | | | | | | |
| County | Establishments | Employment | Employment | (x1,000) | Weekly Pay | Weekly Pay | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 6 | 369 | 8% | \$747 | (\$44) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | 0 | -171 | -6% | (\$2,842) | (\$29) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Marin | 4 | 85 | 8% | \$499 | (\$25) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Monterey | 1 | 16 | 2% | (\$199) | (\$29) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Napa | -3 | -3 | -1% | (\$852) | (\$157) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| San Francisco | 4 | 57 | 3% | (\$350) | (\$37) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| San Mateo | 14 | 154 | 5% | \$431 | (\$27) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Santa Clara | 31 | 345 | 7% | \$2,859 | (\$6) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Santa Cruz | 5 | 56 | 7% | \$595 | \$6 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Solano | 10 | 47 | 4% | \$366 | (\$3) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Sonoma | 2 | 59 | 4% | (\$625) | (\$60) | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Region Totals | 74 | 1,014 | | \$629 | | | | | | | | | |

Clean Technology Sectors Data

Cleantech *i3* data is a purposive sample of rapidly growing companies and is not a count of all companies. Companies may not report data in certain categories leading to differing table totals. Dates refer to when the data was downloaded by Time Structures, Inc. See Attachment 1 for Sector Definitions.

| | Development 1-14-2014 to 4-29-14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Cleantech Con | npanies, Comp Employees | oany Investment 1-14-2014 | and Total | Cleantech Co | • | pany Investmen s 4-29-2014 | Growth or Loss in Companies, Total Company Investment or Total Employees Between 1-14-2014 and 4-29-14 | | | | | | | |
| Stage of | Number of | Total Investment | Total | Stage of | Number of | Total | Total | Stage of | Number of | Total | Total | | | |
| Development | Companies | Total Investment | Employees | Development | Companies | Investment | Employees* | Development | Companies | Investment | Employees | | | |
| Wide | | | | Wide | | | | Wide | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | Commercial | | | | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Availability | 297 | \$10,592,542,917 | 404,044 | Availability | 341 | \$11,942,755,585 | 438,558 | Availability | 44 | \$1,350,212,668 | 34,514 | | | |
| Shipping | | | | Shipping | | | | Shipping | | | | | | |
| Product/Pilot | 154 | \$ 3,984,484,964 | 9,155 | Product/Pilot | 196 | \$4,468,089,517 | 6,791 | Product/Pilot | 42 | \$483,604,553 | (2,364) | | | |
| Product | | | | Product | | | | Product | | | | | | |
| Development | 115 | \$ 1,563,726,313 | 1,708 | Development | 142 | \$1,531,558,395 | 1,839 | Development | 27 | (\$32,167,918) | 131 | | | |
| Concept | 29 | \$ 585,169,055 | 225 | Concept | 63 | \$661,949,055 | 245 | Concept | 34 | \$76,780,000 | 20 | | | |
| Total | 595 | \$16,725,923,249 | 415,132 | Total | 742 | \$18,604,352,552 | 447,433 | Total | 147 | \$1,878,429,303 | 32,301 | | | |

| Number of (| San Franciso Clean Techn and Invest | ology Cor | npanies, | Region 4: San Francisco Bay (1-23-14), Number of Clean Technology Companies, Employees and Investments by Sector | | | | Region 4: San Francisco Bay (1-23-14 to 14), Changes in the Number of Clear Technology Companies, Employees an Investments by Sector | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) | Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment (Mill) |
| Advanced Materials | 59 | 9,752 | 845.2 | Advanced Materials | 61 | 9,754 | \$868.6 | Advanced Materials | 2 | 2 | \$23.4 |
| Agriculture and Forestry | 31 | 700 | 420.7 | Agriculture and Forestry | 41 | 956 | \$572.3 | Agriculture and Forestry | 10 | 256 | \$151.6 |
| Air | 15 | 618 | 354.3 | Air | 15 | 618 | \$354.3 | Air | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Biofuels & Biochemicals | 35 | 1,936 | 1,696.5 | Biofuels & Biochemicals | 49 | 1,886 | \$1,706.1 | Biofuels & Biochemicals | 14 | (50) | \$9.6 |
| Biomass | 4 | 2 | | Biomass | 4 | 4 | \$0.0 | Biomass | 0 | 2 | \$0.0 |
| Conventional Fuels | 8 | 113 | 97.5 | Conventional Fuels | 9 | 128 | \$103.9 | Conventional Fuels | 1 | 15 | \$6.4 |
| Energy Efficiency | 188 | 6,643 | 3,053.2 | Energy Efficiency | 195 | 6,833 | \$3,203.5 | Energy Efficiency | 7 | 190 | \$150.3 |
| Energy Storage | 43 | 815 | 56.4 | Energy Storage | 47 | 845 | \$452.9 | Energy Storage | 4 | 30 | \$396.5 |
| Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 7 | 885 | 130.4 | Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 7 | 885 | \$913.7 | Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 0 | 0 | \$783.3 |
| Geothermal | 4 | 161 | 65.5 | Geothermal | 4 | 161 | \$4.0 | Geothermal | 0 | 0 | (\$61.5) |
| Hydro & Marine | 4 | 18 | 0.4 | Hydro & Marine | 4 | 18 | \$0.4 | Hydro & Marine | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Other Cleantech | 42 | 9,272 | 195.6 | Other Cleantech | 46 | 9,347 | \$222.8 | Other Cleantech | 4 | 75 | \$27.2 |
| Recycling & Waste | 40 | 3,581 | 262.4 | Recycling & Waste | 41 | 3,583 | \$267.4 | Recycling & Waste | 1 | 2 | \$5.0 |
| Smart Grid | 45 | 18,848 | 855.4 | Smart Grid | 46 | 18,848 | \$870.8 | Smart Grid | 1 | 0 | \$15.4 |
| Solar | 182 | 17,211 | 6,019.7 | Solar | 190 | 17,374 | \$6,630.5 | Solar | 8 | 163 | \$610.8 |
| Transportation | 74 | 3,153 | 1,360.3 | Transportation | 83 | 5,551 | \$1,618.8 | Transportation | 9 | 2,398 | \$258.5 |
| Water & Wastewater | 31 | 2,546 | 44.5 | Water & Wastewater | 39 | 2,551 | \$57.2 | Water & Wastewater | 8 | 5 | \$12.7 |
| Wind | 8 | 100 | 800.0 | Wind | 8 | 100 | \$800.0 | Wind | 0 | 0 | \$0.0 |
| Total | 820 | 76,354 | 17,436.8 | Total | 889 | 79,442 | \$18,647.2 | Total | 69 | 3,088 | \$1,210.4 |

CLEANTECH 13 SUMMARY FOR CALIFORNIA

| Cleantech i3 Data on Rapidly Growing Companies, Employment, and Total Investment (May 6, 2014) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Los Angeles & Orange | | | | San Diego & Imperial | | | | Inland | Empire/ | Desert | San Francisco Bay Area | | | | California Totals | | | |
| Sector | Companies | Employees Reported | Tota Inve: (Mill | stment | Companies | Employees Reported | Tota Inve (Mill | stment | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Investment | Companies | Employees Reported | Total Invest (Mill) | tment | Total Companies | Total Employees Reported | Tota Inve | stment |
| Advanced | 20 | 2.020 | , | 40.5 | 10 | 400 | , | 66.7 | | | | | 0.754 | _ | 000.0 | 101 | 42.072 | ۰ | 004.0 |
| Materials | 30 | 3,938 | \$ | 49.5 | 10 | 180 | \$ | 66.7 | - | - | - | 61 | 9,754 | \$ | 868.6 | 101 | 13,872 | \$ | 984.8 |
| Agriculture and Forestry | 9 | 18 | \$ | 0.4 | 9 | 1,073 | \$ | 62.2 | - | - | - | 41 | 956 | \$ | 572.3 | 59 | 2,047 | \$ | 634.9 |
| Air | 5 | 165 | \$ | 34.4 | 5 | 65 | \$ | 58.0 | - | - | - | 15 | 618 | \$ | 354.3 | 25 | 848 | \$ | 446.7 |
| Biofuels & Biochemicals | 17 | 590 | \$ | 264.7 | 19 | 588 | \$ | 622.9 | - | - | 1 | 49 | 1,886 | \$ | 1,706.1 | 85 | 3,064 | \$ | 2,593.7 |
| Biomass | 2 | 150 | \$ | - | 1 | 30 | \$ | 1.6 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | \$ | - | 7 | 184 | \$ | 1.6 |
| Conventional Fuels | 4 | 356 | \$ | 194.1 | 1 | - | \$ | 7.6 | - | - | - | 9 | 128 | \$ | 103.9 | 14 | 484 | \$ | 305.6 |
| Energy Efficiency | 65 | 1,635 | Ś | 216.5 | 24 | 97 | \$ | 52.6 | _ | _ | _ | 195 | 6,833 | \$ | 3,203.5 | 284 | 8,565 | \$ | 3,472.6 |
| Efficiency | 03 | 1,033 | Ą | 210.5 | 24 | 31 | Ą | 32.0 | - | | | 193 | 0,633 | ې | 3,203.3 | 204 | 6,303 | Ą | 3,472.0 |
| Energy Storage | 17 | 439 | \$ | 382.8 | 8 | 440 | \$ | 80.5 | - | - | - | 47 | 845 | \$ | 452.9 | 72 | 1,724 | \$ | 916.2 |
| Fuel Cells & Hydrogen | 7 | 11 | \$ | 80.1 | - | - | \$ | - | - | - | - | 7 | 885 | \$ | 913.7 | 14 | 896 | \$ | 993.8 |
| Geothermal | 1 | 35 | \$ | 49.5 | 1 | 25 | \$ | - | - | - | - | 4 | 161 | \$ | 4.0 | 6 | 221 | \$ | 53.5 |
| Hydro & Marine | 2 | 4 | \$ | - | 3 | - | \$ | - | - | - | - | 4 | 18 | \$ | 0.4 | 9 | 22 | \$ | 0.4 |
| Other Cleantech | 23 | 155 | \$ | 74.9 | 18 | 198 | \$ | 36.2 | 1 | - | - | 46 | 9,347 | \$ | 222.8 | 88 | 9,700 | \$ | 333.9 |
| Recycling & Waste | 21 | 2,517 | \$ | 78.2 | 9 | 64 | \$ | 91.3 | 1 | (100) | - | 41 | 3,583 | \$ | 267.4 | 72 | 6,064 | \$ | 436.9 |
| Smart Grid | 6 | 293 | \$ | 22.0 | 5 | 168 | \$ | 73.4 | - | - | - | 46 | 18,848 | \$ | 870.8 | 57 | 19,309 | \$ | 966.2 |
| Solar | 47 | 1,251 | \$ | 772.5 | 22 | 7,933 | \$ | 263.8 | - | - | - | 190 | 17,374 | \$ | 6,630.5 | 259 | 26,558 | \$ | 7,666.8 |
| Transportation | 48 | 4,853 | \$ | 1,651.2 | 18 | 1,031 | \$ | 596.0 | - | - | - | 83 | 5,551 | \$ | 1,618.8 | 149 | 11,435 | \$ | 3,866.0 |
| Water & Wastewater | 47 | 1,170 | \$ | 170.2 | 16 | 50 | \$ | 52.6 | 1 | 3,000 | - | 39 | 2,551 | \$ | 57.2 | 103 | 6,771 | \$ | 280.0 |
| Wind | 5 | 10 | \$ | - | 10 | 6 | \$ | 12.5 | - | - | - | 8 | 100 | \$ | 800.0 | 23 | 116 | \$ | 812.5 |
| Total | 356 | 17,590 | \$ | 4,041.0 | 179 | 11,948 | \$ | 2,077.9 | 3 | 2,900 | - | 889 | 79,442 | \$ 1 | 8,647.2 | 1,427 | 111,880 | \$ | 24,766.1 |

Attachment 1: Cleantech Sector Definitions

Below is a list of Cleantech *i3* data sectors. Further definitions of terminology, applications, market share, investments, companies, and other information is available at: http://research.Cleantech .com/tags/

<u>Advanced Materials</u>: Advanced Materials includes materials that improve durability and efficiency as well as decrease toxicity including: metals/alloys, semiconductors, composites, polymers, nanomaterial, ceramics, fibers, and various chemicals.

<u>Agriculture and Food</u>: Technologies and services that make the production of food more efficient and effective including: crops, livestock, food transportation, food packaging, food storage, food processing, supply chain tracking, food safety, quality monitoring, local food sourcing, and protein replacements.

<u>Air</u>: technologies, services, and related business models dedicated to removing active pollutants and greenhouse gases (GHG) from the air, after their release into the air: oxidation, filters, catalytic converters, carbon capture, and flue gas treatment.

Biofuels and Biochemical: This sector includes biomass deconstruction and biofuel conversion.

<u>Biomass Generation</u>: This sector includes anaerobic digestion, gasification, pyrolysis, combustion, combined heat and power, biomass boilers and torrefaction.

<u>Conventional Fuels</u>: This sector includes technologies, services, and related business models designed to improve the efficiency or generally lower the environmental impact of incumbent natural resource and energy industries including oil, natural gas, and coal including gasification, liquefaction, carbon capture, and clean coal.

Energy Efficiency: Our Energy Efficiency sector includes technologies, services, and related business models designed to improve energy efficiency in buildings, data centers, built infrastructure, appliances, and consumer electronics. It includes energy services (efficient design and building, financing, energy audits, commissioning, efficiency education, energy procurement, bill management, green certification, and utility programs), smart homes (in-home displays, energy analytics, efficient devices, smart plugs, smart thermostats, home automation, and lighting), commercial buildings (building envelope, efficient heating and cooling systems, enterprise energy, efficient devices, and lighting), data centers, industrial (advanced motor controls, efficient motors and waste heat recovery) and electronics (semiconductors and power management).

<u>Energy Storage</u>: This sector includes technologies enabling the storage of energy, generally in mechanical, electrical, chemical, thermal, or potential (gravity) forms, over time for the later application to productive work including mechanical/electrical (CAES, flywheels, capacitors, pumped storage hydro, SMES, and gravity storage), electrochemical storage (batteries and flow batteries), thermal storage (molten salt, and ice storage), and energy storage systems.

<u>Fuel Cells and Hydrogen</u>: This sector includes companies and business models dedicated to the proliferation of fuel cells as a power source and hydrogen as a fuel including fuel cells (PEM fuel cells, sold oxide fuel cells, phosphoric acid fuel cells, molten carbonate fuel cells, alkaline fuel cells, and zincbased fuels cells), hydrogen production and storage.

<u>Geothermal</u>: Our Geothermal sector includes technologies, services, and related business models dedicated to the harvest of geothermal energy for heating and electric power production including power generation (dry steam, flash steam, binary cycle, enhanced geothermal and waste heat recovery), and heating and cooling (residential heating and cooling and commercial heating and cooling).

<u>Hydro and Marine Power</u>: Systems used to harvest energy from water, either as kinetic energy from moving water, thermal energy from temperature gradients, or through osmosis capitalizing on salinity differentials, and conversion of that energy into electric power including wave power, tidal power, run of river hydro, hydroelectric dams, ocean thermal energy, osmotic power and conduit hydro.

<u>Recycling and Waste</u>: This sector includes technologies, services, and related business models contributing to the reduction, reuse, or recycling of waste streams including collection, buy-back, reprocessing technologies, single stream recycling, sorting technologies, and wholesale/waste), web based recycling, waste to energy (biochemical and thermodynamic process), waste management (plasma destruction and biological breakdown).

<u>Smart Grid</u>: This sector includes technologies and services aimed at bringing a century-old electric grid into the information age; typically through the introduction of communications, monitoring, and control infrastructure to do things like increase system reliability and efficiency, enable active participation by utility customers, and integrate more diverse generation and energy storage assets with existing grid infrastructure including HAN (various wireless or other communication systems), smart meters, grid networking (PLC, BPL, cellular networking, RF, WIFI, satellite, Ethernet, and network management), meter data management, utility applications, demand response (user control of energy), grid integration (electric vehicles, distributed generation, and energy storage), grid optimization (transmission, distribution automation, and power electronics), and grid security.

<u>Solar</u>: Our Solar sector includes technologies, materials, services, and related business models enabling the harvest of solar energy for heating, lighting, or electric power production including photovoltaic (conventional PV, building integrated with other systems, concentrating (dish, linear Fresnel, parabolic trough, luminescent solar, low concentration, and high concentration), organic photovoltaic, PV consumer products, photovoltaic thermal, upstream PV, midstream PV, downstream PV, balance of systems, ground-mounted PV, rooftop PV, PV thin film and PV materials recycling), solar thermal (concentrating solar, photovoltaic thermal, solar water heating, solar thermal air conditioning, solar thermal processes, and balance systems), and passive solar lighting.

<u>Transportation</u>: This sector includes technologies, services, and related business models that enable the utilization of more sustainable transport options for people and goods including engine conversion, internal combustion engines, electric vehicles, hybrid electric vehicles, plug-in hybrid, fuel cell, alternative fuel (hydrogen, biomass, alcohol, vegetable oil, and CNG among other) involving passenger cars, motorcycles, scooters, bicycles, buses, light-weight trucks, heavy-duty trucks, industrial trucks and equipment, trains, shipping and watercraft, and airplanes and aviation. It also includes services such as car sharing, ride sharing, marketplace systems, logistics providers, shipping service providers, car rental, and taxi/private car services, charge stations, battery switching stations, EV charging and management, NGV refueling, vehicle grid, and rail infrastructures. The sector includes vehicle components such as engines, engine/motor sensors, batteries, metals, composites and glass and various software associated with EV charging and management, driver behavior, traffic monitoring, fleet tracking, monitoring and control, parking management, vehicles on a transportation grid, and congestion monitoring.

<u>Water and Wastewater</u>: This sector includes technologies, services, and related business models that reduce the strains placed on the hydrologic cycle while ensuring reliable access to clean water for domestic or industrial use including smart metering and control, smart irrigation, desalination, wastewater treatment, crop or other condition assessment, filtration/separation, water conservation, storm water management, contamination detection and disinfection (chemical, UV, ozone, thermal/waste heating, oxidation, ultrasound treatment, electric current, and biological treatment), and the capture of moisture in the air and its conversion to water.

<u>Wind</u>: Our Wind sector includes technologies, services, and related business models that enable the harvest of wind energy for electric power production including the following turbines: conventional horizontal axis wind, two-blade, co-axial multi-rotor, diffuser-augmented, counter rotating, Giromill, Darrieus, And Savonius.

Attachment 2: Truck and Automotive NAICS Codes used in this Study

US Census 2011 County Business Patterns NAICS Codes Identifying Trucking, Bus, Limousine, School, Transit Services and Related Establishements and Nonemployers Reported in this Study

| Limousine, | Reported in this Study | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| NAICS code | Description | | | | | | | |
| 484 | Truck transportation | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking, local | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking, local | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking, long-distance | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload | | | | | | | |
| | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload | | | | | | | |
| | Specialized freight trucking | | | | | | | |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | | | | | | | |
| 484210 | Used household and office goods moving | | | | | | | |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | | | | | | | |
| 484220 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | | | | | | | |
| | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, long-distance | | | | | | | |
| | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, long-distance | | | | | | | |
| | Transit and ground passenger transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Urban transit systems | | | | | | | |
| | Urban transit systems | | | | | | | |
| | Bus and other motor vehicle transit systems | | | | | | | |
| | Other Urban Transit Systems | | | | | | | |
| | Interurban and rural bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Interurban and rural bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Interurban and rural bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Taxi and limousine service | | | | | | | |
| | Taxi service | | | | | | | |
| | Taxi service | | | | | | | |
| | Limousine service | | | | | | | |
| | Limousine service | | | | | | | |
| | School and employee bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | School and employee bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | School and employee bus transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Charter bus industry | | | | | | | |
| | Charter bus industry | | | | | | | |
| | Charter bus industry | | | | | | | |
| | Other transit and ground passenger transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Other transit and ground passenger transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Special needs transportation | | | | | | | |
| | All other transit and ground passenger transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, land | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, land | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, land | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic/Sightseeing Transportation, Water | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic/Sightseeing Transportation, Water | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic/Sightseeing Transportation, Water | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, other | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, other | | | | | | | |
| | Scenic and sightseeing transportation, other | | | | | | | |
| 2.230 | 0 0 | | | | | | | |

Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division NAICS codes Identifying Number of Automotive Repair and Maintenance Establishments, Employees and Wages (4th Q 2012) Reported in this Study

| 8111 | Automotive Repair and Maintenance |
|--------|--|
| 81111 | Automotive Mechanical Repair/Maintenance |
| 811111 | General Automotive Repair |
| 811112 | Automotive Exhaust System Repair |
| 811113 | Automotive Transmission Repair |
| 811118 | Other Automotive Mechanical Repair |
| 81112 | Automotive Body, Interior & Glass Repair |
| 811121 | Automotive Body and Interior Repair |
| 811122 | Automotive Glass Replacement Shops |
| 81119 | Other Automotive Repair and Maintenance |
| 811191 | Automotive Oil Change/Lubrication Shops |
| 811192 | Car Washes |
| 811198 | All Other Automotive Repair/Maintenance |